arXiv:1511.03314v1 [math.RT] 10 Nov 2015

Double Burnside algebra via evaluations of biset functors.

Baptiste Rognerud

November 12, 2015

Abstract

For a non-vanishing group, we show that the evaluation functor induces an equivalence between the category of modules over the double Burnside algebra and a certain category of biset functors. Using this equivalence, we deduce that over a field of characteristic zero, the double Burnside algebra of a non-vanishing group is a quasi-hereditary algebra. We also show that the double Burnside algebra over a field is self-injective if and only if it is semisimple.

Key words: Biset, burnside ring, equivalence of categories
A.M.S. subject classification: 19A22, 20C99, 16G10,18E10.

1 Introduction

Let k be a field and G be a finite group. The double Burnside ring $B(G,G)$ is the Grothendieck ring of the category of all finite G-G-bisets. Extending scalars to k, we have an algebra $kB(G,G)$ which is called the double Burnside algebra of the finite group G. This algebra, and some of its subalgebras are crucial objects in recent developments in representation theory of finite groups, fusion systems and homotopy theory we refer to the Introduction of [7] for explicit motivations. The last fifteen years, it has been studied by several mathematicians. In [3] Robert Boltje and Susanne Danz were particularly interested by the sub-algebras consisting of left-free bisets or bi-free bisets in characteristic zero. They introduced ghost-rings and mark morphisms for these algebras. They deduced a lot of informations about these two algebras in characteristic 0. In [4] Boltje and Burkhard Külshammer found the central primitive idempotents of these two algebras. However the question of understanding the central primitive idempotents of the double Burnside algebra is still open, even in characteristic zero. In fact, it is particularly difficult to generalize the results of the left-free double Burnside algebra to the whole double Burnside algebra. According to Thévenaz, there is a 'quantic gap' between them.

It is known that the double Burnside algebra is semisimple if and only if the group G is cyclic and the characteristic of the field is suitable (zero e.g.). Unfortunately, it is basically the only known result about the ring structure of the double Burnside algebra.

It was recently showed by Serge Bouc, Radu Stancu and Jacques Thévenaz in [7] that one can deduce a lot of informations about the double Burnside algebra via evaluating

biset functors. More surprisingly, they showed that the converse is also true: one can deduce a lot about biset functors by just looking at the double Burnside algebras. In this article, we continue to use this philosophy in order to study the ring structure of the double Burnside algebra. We will also observe that some problems of [7] are directly connected to the so-called phenomenon of vanishing of simple biset functors.

After recalling the notations and fundamental results about biset functors, we look at evaluations of simple biset functors. It is obvious that the evaluation at a finite group G of a simple biset functor is either zero or a simple module over the double Burnside algebra. However, it is an old, and extremely hard, problem to decide whenever a simple functor will vanish at G. Loosely speaking, in order to avoid this problem we decided to look at the finite groups such that there are no non-trivial vanishing of simple functors (see Definition 3.7). Such a group is called a non-vanishing group. It turns out that these groups are exactly the groups such that the evaluation at G is an equivalence of categories. More precisely, we have the following Theorem.

Theorem A. *Let k be a field. Let G be a finite group. Let $\Sigma(G)$ be the full subcategory of the biset category consisting of all the subquotients of G. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma(G),k}$ be the category of biset functors over $\Sigma(G)$. If G is a non-vanishing group, then the evaluation at G is an equivalence of categories between $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma(G),k}$ and $kB(G,G)$-*Mod.

As immediate corollary, every categorical property of the category of biset functors is true for the double Burnside algebra. For example, we deduce the following Theorem (which we proved by another approach in [12]).

Theorem B. *Let k be a field. Let G be a non-vanishing group. If* $\mathrm{char}(k)$ *does not divide* $|\mathrm{Out}(H)|$ *for every subquotient H of G, then $kB(G,G)$ is a quasi-hereditary algebra.*

One can remark that the methods developed in this section are much more general than the case of a full subcategory of the biset category. However, if one look at non-full subcategory such as the category of left-free or bi-free bisets, then our method is not relevant at all. Indeed since there are less morphisms in these categories, there are a lot of vanishing of simple functors (see Remark 3.13). In particular this gives a structural reason for the existence of this 'quantic gap' between the double Burnside algebra and the left-free double Burnside algebra.

Theorem A gives us the motivation to understand the non-vanishing groups. In Section 4 we investigate the family of non-vanishing groups and show that the abelian groups and the so-called s-self-dual groups are in this family. Unfortunately we did not succeed to classify all the non-vanishing groups. Understanding this family is in theory much easier than understanding the vanishing problem for the simple biset functors, as we ask that none of the simple functors vanishes at G. In particular in Proposition 3.10, we show that our problem is equivalent to a problem of composition of bisets. But it seems still difficult to characterize this last problem in group theoretical terms. At the end of Section 4 we give a non-naive example of non-vanishing group in characteristic 0. It can be seen that the non-vanishing property of this group is connected to some non-trivial facts about the ordinary representations of $GL(3,2)$.

In the last part of this article we look at the finite groups G such that the double Burnside algebra $kB(G,G)$ is self-injective. The answer to this question is given by the following Theorem.

Theorem C. *Let k be a field. Let G be a finite group. Then $kB(G,G)$ is a self-injective algebra if and only if it is a semisimple algebra.*

The proof of this result is similar to the proof Theorem B about the quasi-hereditary structure of $kB(G,G)$. In a first time, we show that a category of biset functors is self-injective if and only if it is semisimple. Then using the evaluation functor, we deduce the result for the double Burnside algebra. In order to prove the result for the categories of biset functors, it is convenient to revisit the Theorems of Barker and Bouc about the semi-simplicity of the category of biset functors and double Burnside algebra. As for the group algebra of a finite group, we show that these categories are semisimple if and only if the trivial object is projective (See Definition 6.1).

Notations. *We denote by k a field. Let $\mathcal{C}_k$ be the k-linearisation of the biset category. That is the category whose objects are finite groups and morphisms are given by the double Burnside modules. For a finite group G, we denote by $\Sigma(G)$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_k$ consisting of all the subquotients of G. And if $\mathcal{D}$ is a subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_k$ we denote by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D},k}$ the category of biset functors over $\mathcal{D}$. That is the category of k-linear functors from $\mathcal{D}$ to k-*Mod*. If a finite group L is a subquotient of a finite group G, we denote $L \sqsubseteq G$. If it is a proper subquotient, we write $L \sqsubset G$.*

2 Biset functors

In this short section, we recall some well known facts about biset functors and we refer to the first chapters of [6] for more details. Let k be a field and $\mathcal{D}$ be a replete biset category in the sense of Definition 4.1.7 of [6]. We denote by $kB(H,K)$ the set of morphisms from K to H in $\mathcal{D}$. The category $\mathcal{D}$ is in particular a skeletaly small k-linear category. The category of k-linear functors from $\mathcal{D}$ to k-Mod is called the category of biset functors over $\mathcal{D}$ and is denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D},k}$. It is well known that it is an abelian category.

By Yoneda's Lemma, if G is an object of $\mathcal{D}$, the representable functor (also called the Yoneda functor) $Y_G := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(G,-) = kB(-,G)$ is a projective object of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D},k}$. Moreover, every object of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D},k}$ is quotient of a direct sum of Yoneda functors. So the category $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D},k}$ has enough projective objects. For the simple objects of this category, we have the following classification.

Theorem 2.1 (Bouc)**.** *Let k be a field. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a replete biset category. The set of isomorphism classes of simple objects of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D},k}$ is in bijection with the set of isomorphism classes of pairs (H,V) where H runs through the objects of $\mathcal{D}$ and V through the simple $k\mathrm{Out}(H)$-modules.*

Proof. See Theoerm 4.3.10 of [6]. □

If (H, V) is a pair consisting of a finite group and a simple $k\mathrm{Out}(H)$-module, we denote by $S_{H,V}$ the corresponding simple functor. We denote by $\Lambda_{\mathcal{D}}$, or just Λ if the context is clear, the set of isomorphism classes of such pairs. As it can be seen in Corollary 4.2.4 of [6], the simple functor $S_{H,V}$ can be realized as a quotient of some indecomposable functor. More precisely, with Bouc's notations, we have $S_{H,V} = L_{H,V}/J_{H,V}$.

Let us recall that a biset functor is finitely generated if it is a quotient of a finite direct sum of Yoneda functors. In particular, the simple functors and the Yoneda functors are finitely generated. As in the case of modules over a ring, the choice axiom implies the existence of maximal subfunctors of a finitely generated functor. As always, we define the radical of a biset functor F as the intersection of all maximal subfunctors, and we denote it by $\mathrm{Rad}(F)$. The category of finitely generated biset functors is a Krull-Schmidt category. In particular, every simple functor $S_{H,V}$ has a projective cover in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D},k}$. We denote by $P_{H,V}$ a projective cover of $S_{H,V}$. In this article, we will also need a last family of biset functors. Let H and K be two objects of $\mathcal{D}$. Then

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{X\in\mathcal{D}\\ X\sqsubset H}} kB(K,X)kB(X,H),$$

can be viewed as a submodule of $kB(K,H)$ via composition of morphisms. We denote by $I_{\mathcal{D}}(K,H)$ this submodule and by $k\overrightarrow{B}(K,H)$ the quotient $kB(K,H)/I_{\mathcal{D}}(K,H)$. This is a natural right $k\mathrm{Out}(H)$-module. If V is an $k\mathrm{Out}(H)$-module, we denote by $\Delta^{\mathcal{D}}_{H,V}$ the functor

$$\Delta^{\mathcal{D}}_{H,V} := K \mapsto k\overrightarrow{B}(K,H) \otimes_{k\mathrm{Out}(H)} V.$$

When the context is clear, we simply denote by $\Delta_{H,V}$ this functor. These functors will be called the standard biset functors. Note that the module V is not suppose to be simple.

3 Evaluations of biset functors

Definition 3.1. Let k be a field. Let G be a finite group. Then the double Burnside algebra of G, denoted $kB(G,G)$ is the algebra of endomorphisms of the group G in $\mathcal{C}_k$.

So, if G is an object of $\mathcal{D}$, there is an evaluation functor from $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D},k}$ to $kB(G,G)$-Mod. This functor sends a biset functor F to its value at G. Since the abelian structure of the category of biset functors is a pointwise structure, it is clear that this functor is exact. Moreover it has a left adjoint denoted by $L_{G,-}$. This functor sends a $kB(G,G)$-module W to the functor $\big(Y \in \mathcal{D}\big) \mapsto kB(Y,G) \otimes_{kB(G,G)} W$ (see Paragraph 3.3.5 of [6] for more details). It is well known that this evaluation functor carries a lot of informations of the category of biset functors into the category of $kB(G,G)$-modules. More recently, Bouc, Stancu and Thévenaz showed that the converse is also true. For example, we have:

Proposition 3.2. *Let S be a simple biset functor. Let G be a finite group such that $S(G) \neq 0$. Let F be a biset functor. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. *S is isomorphic to a subquotient of F.*

2. *The simple $kB(G,G)$-module $S(G)$ is isomorphic to a subquotient of $F(G)$.*

Proof. Proposition 3.3 of [7]. □

However, the evaluation at G is not always compatible with algebraic operations. For example it does not commute with taking the radical. Indeed, as explain in Section 9 of [7], the evaluation at a group G of the radical of a biset functor is not always the radical of the evaluation. In [12], we observed that this phenomenon is connected with the *vanishing property* of the simple biset functors.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D},k}$. Let us suppose that F is a finitely generated biset functor. Let $G \in \mathcal{D}$.*

1. *$Rad\big(F(G)\big) \subseteq [Rad(F)](G)$.*

2. *If the simple quotients of F do not vanish at G, then $Rad\big(F(G)\big) = [Rad(F)](G)$.*

Let P be an indecomposable projective biset functor. If the simple quotient of P does not vanish at G, then $P(G)$ is a projective indecomposable $kB(G,G)$-module.

Proof. See Proposition 1.1 and Corollary 1.3 of [12]. □

Remark 3.4. All the results of Section 9 of [7] are easy corollaries of this Lemma.

As corollary, we also have this useful result.

Corollary 3.5. *. Let G be a finite group. Let k be a field. Then the Burnside module $kB(G)$ is an indecomposable projective $kB(G,G)$-module. It is a projective cover of the simple $kB(G,G)$-module $S_{1,k}(G)$.*

Proof. Since kB is a representable functor in $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma(G),k}$ it is projective. Moreover, with the notations of [7], we have $kB = L_{1,k}$. it is well known that this functor has a simple top which is isomorphic to $S_{1,k}$. Since the trivial group 1 is a quotient of the group G, the simple functor $S_{1,k}$ does not vanish at G. The result follows from Lemma 3.3. □

Let k be a field. As explain above, the simple biset functors are parametrized by the pairs (H,V) where H runs through the isomorphism classes of objects of $\mathcal{D}$ and V runs through the isomorphism classes of simple $R\mathrm{Out}(H)$-modules. Via evaluation at G, we have a classification of the simple $kB(G,G)$-modules and their projective cover.

Corollary 3.6. *Let k be a field. The simple $kB(G,G)$-modules are parametrized by the pairs $(H,V) \in \Lambda$ such that $S_{H,V}(G) \neq 0$. Moreover $P_{H,V}(G)$ is a projective cover of $S_{H,V}(G)$.*

Proof. The first part is Corollary 3.3 [7]. The second part is an obvious consequence of Lemma 3.3. □

Obviously, this classification is not completely satisfying, as it is well known that understanding which simple biset functors vanish at G is an extremely hard problem (see [8] for a recent survey).

Here we tried to avoid this difficulty by considering finite groups such that there is no non-trivial vanishing of simple functors.

Definition 3.7. Let k be a field. Let G be a finite group. Let $\Sigma(G)$ be the full subcategory of the biset category consisting of all the subquotients of G. The group G is a NV_k-group if none of the simple functors of $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma(G),k}$ vanishes at G.

It is obviously equivalent to say that for every subquotient H of G and every $k\mathrm{Out}$-simple module V, the simple functor $S_{H,V}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C},k}$ does not vanish at G.

Let H and G be two finite groups. The composition in the biset category:

$$\begin{aligned} kB(H,G) \times kB(G,H) &\to kB(H,H) \\ \left({}_HU_G,\, {}_GV_H\right) &\mapsto U \times_G V. \end{aligned}$$

induces a morphism of $kB(H,H)$-modules. We will abusively denote by $kB(H,G)B(G,H)$ the image of this composition in $kB(H,H)$. It is the submodule of $kB(H,H)$ consisting of the linear combinations of elements of the form $V \times_G U$ for $V \in RB(H,G)$ and $U \in RB(G,H)$. Using this composition, we have an intrinsic understanding of the non vanishing of all the simple functors having the finite group H as minimal group.

Proposition 3.8. *Let k be a field. Let G and H be two finite groups of $\mathcal{D}$. The following are equivalents.*

1. *$S_{H,V}(G) \neq 0$ for every $kB(H,H)$-simple module V.*
2. *There is an isomorphism of $kB(H,H)$-modules between $kB(H,G)B(G,H)$ and $kB(H,H)$.*
3. *There exists $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ there are $\left(\iota(H)^*\right)_i \in kB(H,G)$ and $\left(\iota(H)^*\right)_i \in kB(G,H)$ such that*

$$id_H = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\iota(H)^*\right)_i \times_G \left(\iota(H)_*\right)_i.$$

Proof. It is clear that 2 and 3 are equivalent. We show that 1 is equivalent to 2.
Let us assume 2. Since $V \cong S_{H,V}(H) \neq 0$, then the identity of $S_{H,V}(H)$ is non zero. By hypothesis $Id_H \in kB(H,G)B(G,H)$. So this morphism factorizes through $S_{H,V}(G)$ which must be non zero.
Conversely, let V be a simple $kB(H,H)$-simple module. Since $S_{H,V}(G) \neq 0$, then $L_{H,V}(G) \neq J_{H,V}(G)$. This means that there is an element

$$\sum_i \phi_i \otimes v_i \in kB(G,H) \otimes_{kB(H,H)} V$$

and an element $\psi \in kB(H,G)$ such that $\sum_i (\psi\phi_i) \cdot v_i \neq 0$. So the action of the element $\phi \times_G \left(\sum_i \phi_i\right)$ is non zero on V. Since V is a simple module, we have:

$$kB(H,G)B(G,H) \cdot V = V.$$

Since this holds for every simple module V, we have that $kB(H,G)B(G,H)$ is not contained in any maximal submodule of $kB(H,H)$. So it must be equal to $kB(H,H)$. □

Definition 3.9. Let k be a field. Let G and H be two finite groups. If $kB(H,G)B(G,H) = kB(H,H)$ we say that H is a *k-quasidirect summand* of G. In this case we use the notation $H \vdash_k G$. If the context is clear enough, we simply use the notation $H \vdash G$.

As immediate Corollary, we have an intrinsic definition of *non-vanishing* groups.

Proposition 3.10. *Let G be a finite group and k be a field. Then the following are equivalent.*

- *The group G is* NV_k.
- *Every subquotient H of G is a k-quasidirect summand of G.*

Proof. It is an easy consequence of Lemma 3.8. □

The fact that a group H is a k-quasidirect summand of a group K is equivalent to the fact that the representable functor Y_H is direct summand of a finite direct sum of copies of Y_K in the category of biset fuctors $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C},k}$.

Lemma 3.11. *Let k be a field. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a full subcategory of the biset category. Let G and H be two groups of $\mathcal{D}$. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. *$H \vdash_k G$.*
2. *There exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that Y_H is a direct summand of $(Y_G)^m$.*

Proof. It is an easy application of Yoneda's Lemma. More precesely, if $H \vdash_k G$, then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ there are $\left(\iota(H)^*\right)_i \in kB(H,G)$ and $\left(\iota(H)^*\right)_i \in kB(G,H)$ such that

$$id_H = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\iota(H)^*\right)_i \times_G \left(\iota(H)_*\right)_i.$$

By Yoneda's Lemma the biset $\left(\iota(H)^*\right)_i$ induces via right multiplication a morphism $\phi_i : Y_H \to Y_G$ and the biset $\left(\iota(H)^*\right)_i$ induces via right multiplication a morphism $\psi_i : Y_G \to Y_H$. Moreover, the morphism $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi_i \circ \phi_i$ corresponds to the identity of $kB(H,H)$ via the isomorphism of Yoneda's Lemma, so it is the identity of Y_H.
Conversely if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that Y_H is a direct summand of $(Y_G)^n$, then for $i \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$ there are morphisms $\phi_i : Y_H \to Y_G$ and $\psi_i : Y_G \to Y_H$ such that:

$$id_{Y_H} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi_i \circ \phi_i.$$

Using Yoneda's Lemma one more time, we see that $H \vdash_k G$. □

Using this characterization of quasidirect summands, it is not difficult to prove that the *non-vanishing* groups are exactly the finite groups G such that the evaluation at G induces an equivalence of categories between $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma(G),k}$ and $kB(G,G)$-Mod.

Theorem 3.12. *Let G be a finite group. Let k be a field. Then the following are equivalents.*

1. *G is a NV_k-group.*
2. *$ev_G : \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma(G),k} \to kB(G,G)$-Mod is an equivalence of categories.*

Proof. If the evaluation at G is an equivalence of categories, it cannot kill a simple functor. So G is a non-vanishing group.

Conversely, we show that the representable functor Y_G is a pro-generator of $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma(G),k}$. The result will follow. Indeed by Morita's Theorem, the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma(G),k}}(RB_G, -)$ will be an equivalence of categories between $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma(G),k}$ and $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma(G),k}}(RB_G)$. But Yoneda's Lemma identifies this functor to the evaluation at G and $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma(G),k}}(RB_G)$ to $kB(G,G)$. Let us prove that Y_G is a pro-generator of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D},k}$. Since every biset functor is quotient of direct sum of representable functors Y_D for $D \in \Sigma(G)$, it is enough to show that every representable functor Y_D is a quotient of a (finite) direct sum of Y_G. But this is exactly the statement of Lemma 3.11 □

Remark 3.13. The arguments developed here are much more general than the case of a replete biset category. For example one can take for the category $\mathcal{D}$ a category of so-called left-free, or bi-free, biset functors. However, since the category $\mathcal{D}$ contains less morphism, there are a lot of vanishing of simple functors.
One the other hand, the opposite phenomenon can appeared. In the context of correspondence functors recently developed by Bouc and Thévenaz (see [9]) every object of their category is 'direct summand' of a larger object. So there are no non trivial vanishing. So in this context if X is a finite set, let $\mathcal{D}$ be the full subcategory of the category of correspondences consisting of all the sets smaller the X. Then the evaluation at X induces an equivalence between the category of k-linear functors from $\mathcal{D}$ to k-Mod and the category of modules over the algebra of all relations on X.

More generally, if G is a vanishing group, we have a situation of recollement:

Proposition 3.14. *Let k be a field. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a replete biset category. Let $G \in D$. We denote by $\mathcal{K}(G)$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D},k}$ consisting of the functors F such that $F(G) = 0$. Then we have a situation of recollement:*

$$\mathcal{K}(G) \underset{\leftarrow}{\overset{\leftarrow}{\rightarrow}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D},k} \underset{\leftarrow}{\overset{\leftarrow}{\rightarrow}} kB(G,G)\text{-Mod}.$$

In particular, $kB(G,G)$-Mod $\cong \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D},k}/\mathcal{K}(G)$.

Proof. We give the different functors between these categories. The result will follow from straightforward verifications of the Axioms of [10]. The functor between $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D},k}$ and $kB(G,G)$-Mod is the evaluation at G. It has a left adjoint $L_{G,-}$ and a right adjoint

$L^o_{G,-}$ (see 3.3.5 of [6]). The functor between $\mathcal{K}(G)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D},k}$ is the embedding functor. It has a left adjoint which sends a functor F to the largest quotient of F which belongs in $\mathcal{K}(G)$. The right adjoint is the functor sending F to the largest subfunctor belonging in $\mathcal{K}(G)$. □

4 Non vanishing groups

In this section we investigate basic properties of non-vanishing groups. In the first part of the section we give an infinite list of non-vanishing groups. The groups of this list have the particularity of being non-vanishing over *any* field. Moreover they are nilpotent.
Unfortunately we do not succeed to classify the non-vanishing groups. Our problem is in theory much easier than the problem of understanding the vanishing problem of simple functors. Indeed we ask that none of the simple functors vanishes at G. In particular this is equivalent to a problem involving composition of bisets (see Proposition 3.10). But it seems that it is still difficult to understand this problem of composition of bisets in group theoretical terms. At the end of this section we construct a non trivial example of non-vanishing group over a field of characteristic zero. We will see that the non-vanishing property of this group comes from some non trivial results about the representations of $GL(3,2)$. Moreover this example shows that the family of non vanishing groups contains *non nilpotent* groups and is *not* closed under taking subgroups and subquotients.

Let us start this investigation by looking at the relation $\vdash$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let k be a field.*

- *The relation $\vdash_k$ is reflexive, and transitive.*
- *If G and H are two subgroups such that $H \vdash_k G$ then H is isomorphic to a subquotient of G.*

Proof. Let G, H and K be three finite groups. It is clear that $G \vdash_k G$. Now let us assume that $K \vdash_k H$ and $H \vdash_k G$. One can use the equivalent assertions of Lemma 3.8 in order to show that $K \vdash_k G$. But the easiest way is probably to use the other characterization of Lemma 3.11. That is $K \vdash_k H$ if and only if there is an integer n such that $Y_K \mid (Y_H)^n$ in the category of biset functors.
For the second point, if $H \vdash_k G$, then $kB(H,G)B(G,H) = kB(H,H)$. Let us denote by $I(H,H)$ the submodule of $kB(H,H)$ consisting of all the H-H-bisets factorizing strictly below H. Then $k\mathrm{Out}(H) \cong kB(H,G)B(G,H)/I(H,H)$. In particular the last quotient is non zero. But by Bouc's butterfly lemma (Lemma 2.3.26 [6]) any element in $kB(H,G)$ is a k-linear combination of transitive bisets which factorize through subquotients of H and G. So if H is not a subquotient of G, then every H-G-biset factorizes through a proper subquotient of H, and hence is zero in the quotient. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let k be a field. If G is an abelian group, then G is a NV_k-group.*

Proof. This is Proposition 3.2 of [8]. Since the argument is both crucial and easy we recall it. We have to prove that for every subquotient H of G and for every simple $k\mathrm{Out}(H)$-module, we have $S_{H,V}(G) \neq 0$. Since the group G is abelian, every subquotient is isomorphic to a quotient of G. Now if $H = G/N$ for a normal subgroup N of G, we have $id_H = \mathrm{Def}^G_{G/N}\mathrm{Inf}^G_{G/N}$. □

More generally, this argument can be used in order to prove the following result.

Corollary 4.3. *Let G be a finite group such that every subgroup is isomorphic to a quotient of G. Then G is a NV_k-group for every field k.*

Proof. By hypothesis, every subgroup of G is isomorphic to a quotient of G, so it is a quasi-direct summand of G. We just have to prove that a finite group G is non-vanishing if and only if every *subgroup* H of G is quasi-direct summand of G. Let's assume that for every H subgroup of G we have $H \vdash_k G$. Let $H = B/A$ be a subquotient of G. Then H is a quasi-direct summand of B, and by hypothesis B is a quasi-direct summand of G. So by transitivity of the relation $\vdash_k$, the group H is a quasi-direct summand of G. □

Such a finite group is called a s-self dual group and these groups have been completely classified in [1]. This classification involves two family of finite p-groups. Let p be a prime number, then we denote by X_{p^3} an extra-special p-group of exponent p. Let n be an integer, then we denote by $M_p(n,n)$ the finite group $<a,b \mid a^{p^n} = b^{p^n} = 1,\ bab^{-1} = a^{1+p^{n-1}}>$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let G be a finite group.*

1. *The group G is s-self dual if and only if G is nilpotent and all Sylow subgroups of G are s-self dual.*
2. *Let p be a prime number. Let P be a finite p-group. Then P is s-self dual if and only if P is:*
   - *P is abelian.*
   - *$P \cong X_{p^3} \times M$ where M is an abelian p-group with $\exp(M) \leqslant p$ when p is an odd prime number.*
   - *$P \cong M_p(n,n) \times M$ where M is an abelian p-group with $\exp(M) < p^n$.*

Proof. Theorem 7.3 together with Theorem 7.1 of [1]. □

As consequence the list of non-vanishing groups includes all the abelian groups and this family of nilpotent groups. However, in order to exhibit this list, we only used the trivial fact that if H is isomorphic to a quotient of G, then $S_{H,V}(G) \neq 0$ for any simple $k\mathrm{Out}(H)$-modules. As it can be seen in Section 3 of [8] there are some less trivial non-vanishing properties involving the geometry of the sections in a finite group. So we cannot hope to have construct all the non-vanishing groups at this stage.

There is a formula for the dimension of the evaluation at a finite group G of the

simple functor $S_{H,V}$ in Theorem 7.1 of [7]. This formula involves the computation of a bilinear form which is construct by using the character of the simple $k\mathrm{Out}(H)$-module V. In particular the vanishing or the non-vanishing of this simple functors depends on the simple module V and on the field k. But if we are interested about the non vanishing of *all* the simple functors having H as minimal group, then all the sufficient conditions that we know only depend on the finite group G. More precisely in all these cases id_H is written as product of two transitive bisets (see Section 3 of [8] for more details). In particular these results are true for any field.

So it seems natural to ask the following two questions:

Question 4.5. *Let k be a field. Let G be a NV_k-group. Let H be a subquotient of G. Is it always possible to find an element $U \in kB(H,G)$ and an element $V \in kB(G,H)$ such that $id_H = U \times_G V$?*

Remember that this question is not hopeless since this is true for the category of finite sets with correspondences (See Lemma 4.1 of [9]).

Question 4.6. *Let k be a field and G be a finite group. If G is non-vanishing over the field k, is it non-vanishing over any field?*

We are going to give a negative answer to these two naive questions. More precesely, we give an example of a non-vanishing group G over a field of characteristic zero with a subquotient H such that id_H is *not* a product of two elements. Moreover this example shows that the structure of the simple modules for the group algebra of the outer automorphism of the subquotients of G is also involves in the vanishing or non-vanishing property of G. In particular this group will not be non-vanishing over any field. But let us start by a useful general lemma about non-vanishing groups.

Lemma 4.7. *Let G be a finite group. Let $k \subset K$ be a field extension. Then G is NV_k if and only if G is NV_K.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.8, the group G is NV_k if and only if $kB(H,G)B(G,H) = kB(H,H)$ for every $H \sqsubseteq G$. That is if and only if the $kB(H,H)$-modules $kB(H,G)B(G,H)$ and $kB(H,H)$ are isomorphic for every $H \sqsubseteq G$. Since $K \otimes_k kB(H,H) = KB(H,H)$ and $K \otimes_k kB(H,G)B(G,H) = KB(H,G)B(G,H)$, the result follows from Noether Deuring's Theorem. □

We will also use the following result in order to compute the dimension of some evaluations of some simple functors.

Theorem 4.8 (Bouc)**.** *Let k be a field of characteristic 0. Let p be a prime number and P be a finite p-group which is not 1 or $C_p \times C_p$. Let G be a finite group. Then $\dim_k S_{P,k}(G)$ is the number of conjugacy classes of sections (T,S) of G such that $T/S \cong P$ and T is the direct product of a p-group and a cyclic group.*

Proof. See the main Theorem of [5]. □

Lemma 4.9. *Let k be a field of characteristic 0. Let $G = A_4 \times C_2$ and $H = C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$. Then id_H cannot be written as a product of an element of $kB(H, G)$ and an element of $kB(G, H)$.*

Proof. Let us first remark that the fact that id_H is the product of an element of $kB(H, G)$ and an element of $kB(G, H)$ is equivalent to the fact that Y_H is a direct summand of Y_G in the category of biset functors. But by standard arguments, if X is a finite group, then the decomposition of the Yoneda functor Y_X as direct sum of indecomposable projective is given by:

$$Y_X \cong \bigoplus_{(K,W)\in\Lambda} P_{K,W}^{n_{K,W}(X)},$$

where $P_{K,W}$ is a projective cover of $S_{K,W}$ and

$$n_{K,W}(X) = \dim_k S_{K,W}(X)/\dim_k \mathrm{End}(W).$$

So Y_H is a direct summand of Y_G if and only if for every simple functor $S_{K,W}$ we have:

$$\dim_k S_{K,W}(H) \leqslant \dim_k S_{K,W}(G).$$

Now we claim that we have $\dim_k S_{C_2,k}(G) = 14$ and $\dim_k S_{C_2,k}(H) = 35$ so Y_H is not a direct summand of Y_G. It remains to prove the claim. These computations can be, in theory, done by using the arguments of Paragraph 7 of [7]. However the bilinear forms will be so huge that it is not reasonable to give a full proof of this fact. Instead, we use Theorem 4.8. Since there are 35 sections C_2 in $C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$, we have that $\dim_k S_{C_2,k}(H) = 35$. It is not difficult to check that there are 15 conjugacy classes of sections C_2 in $A_4 \times C_2$. However Since $A_4 \times C_2$ is not the direct product of a 2-group and a cyclic group, we have to discount the conjugacy class of the section $(A_4 \times C_2, A_4)$. So we finally have $\dim_k S_{C_2,k}(G) = 14$. □

The next step is to check that $A_4 \times C_2$ is a non-vanishing group over a field of characteristic zero. Actually, we prove that it is non-vanishing over any field of characteristic different from 3.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $G = A_4 \times C_2$ and let k be a field. The group G is a NV_k-group if and only if $\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 3$.*

Proof. Using the fact that the relation $\vdash := \vdash_k$ is transitive, it is enough to check that $H \vdash G$ for every subgroup H of G. Up to isomorphism, the subgroups of G are: 1, C_2, C_3, $C_2 \times C_2$, C_6, $C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$, A_4 and $A_4 \times C_2$. For our purpose it is enough to look at subgroups which are not isomorphic to a quotient of G. It remains $C_2 \times C_2$ and $C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$. Moreover, the group $C_2 \times C_2$ is a quotient of $C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$, so by transitivity of $\vdash$, it is enough to check that $(C_2)^3 \vdash G$. So we want to check that

$$id_{(C_2)^3} \in kB((C_2)^3, G)kB(G, (C_2)^3),$$

but in this case the identity is not a product of bisets, and it is rather technical to check this without the help of a computer. However, this is equivalent to check that for

every simple $k\mathrm{Out}(H)$-module V we have $S_{H,V}(G) \neq 0$. Since $\Sigma_H(G) = \{(H,1)\}$, by Proposition 7.1 of [8], we have:

$$S_{H,V}(G) \cong \mathrm{Tr}_1^{G/H}(V),$$

where G/H acts on V via conjugation.
Since G/H is a cyclic group of order 3, if k is a field of characteristic 3 then $S_{H,k}(G) = 0$ and G is a vanishing group. Now let us suppose that k is a field of characteristic $p \neq 3$. By Lemma 4.7, we can assume the field to be algebraically closed. Let Γ be the subgroup of $GL_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$ consisting of conj_g for $g \in G/H$. Let V be a simple $kGL_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$-module. Since Γ is a group of order 3, the group algebra $k\Gamma$ is semisimple. So $\mathrm{Res}_\Gamma^{GL_3(\mathbb{F}_2)}(V)$ is a semisimple module. It is a direct sum of the trivial $k\Gamma$-module and the two other simple modules of dimension 1. The space of fixed point of either of the two non trivial simple modules is 0. In conclusion $V^\Gamma \neq \{0\}$ if and only if the trivial $k\Gamma$-module is a direct summand of $\mathrm{Res}_\Gamma^{GL_3(\mathbb{F}_2)}V$. Since the trace map is surjective, $S_{H,V}(G) \neq 0$ if and only if $k \mid \mathrm{Res}_\Gamma^{GL_3(\mathbb{F}_2)}V$. We did not find a structural reason for this fact, and as far as we known, it is maybe just a coincidence for the group $GL(3,2)$ and its subgroup of order 3.
There are basically three cases: $p = 0$, $p = 2$ and $p = 7$. This can be done by looking at the ordinary character table for $p = 0$ and for $p = 2$ and $p = 7$, one can look at the Brauer character tables of $GL_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$. For our purpose, we only need to have the values of the characters on the elements of order 1 and 3. All the elements of order 3 are conjugate in G. We let x to be an element of order 3. We have the following tables, where the characters are written horizontally.

(1)	1	3	3	6	7	8
(x)	1	0	0	0	1	-1

For the prime $p = 2$ we have the following table:

(1)	1	3	3	8
(x)	1	0	0	-1

And finally, for $p = 7$, we have:

(1)	1	3	5	7
(x)	1	0	-1	1

In the three cases, it is easy to check that the trivial module appears at least ones in $\mathrm{Res}_{C_3}^{GL(3,2)}(V)$ for every simple $kGL(3,2)$-module V. □

As corollary, of this example, we have:

Corollary 4.11. *Let k be a field. The family of NV_k-groups is not closed under taking subgroups or taking quotients. Moreover it contains non nilpotent groups.*

Proof. A_4 is a subgroup and a quotient of $A_4 \times C_2$. We just have to show that A_4 is a vanishing group for every field. Let $C_2 \times C_2$ be the subgroup of order 4 of A_4. It is easy to see that $\Sigma_{C_2 \times C_2}(A_4) = \{(C_2 \times C_2, 1)\}$. So if V is a simple $k\mathrm{Out}(C_2 \times C_2)$-module, by Proposition 7.1 of [8], we have:

$$S_{H,V}(G) \cong \mathrm{Tr}_1^{N_G(T,S)}(V).$$

Here $\mathrm{Out}(C_2 \times C_2) \cong S_3$ and $N_G(T,S)$ is the subgroup of S_3 of order 3.

1. If $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$ or 2. Let V be the simple kS_3-module of dimension 2. It is easy to check that $Tr_1^{C_3}(V) = 0$.
2. If $\mathrm{char}(k) = 3$ then $Tr_1^{C_3}(k) = 0$.

So in every cases, the group G is a vanishing group. □

5 Quasi-hereditary property of the double Burnside algebra.

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a replete biset category. Let k be a field such that $\mathrm{char}(k) \nmid |\mathrm{Out}(H)|$ for every $H \in \mathcal{D}$. Then by a famous Theorem of Peter Webb (Theorem 7.2 of [14]) the category of biset functors over $\mathcal{D}$ is a *highest weight* category. One can wonder if the double Burnside algebra is a quasi-hereditary algebra. As immediate consequence of Theorem 3.12, we have.

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a finite group. Let k be a field such that $|Out(H)|$ is invertible in k for every subquotient H of G. If G is a NV_k-group, then $kB(G,G)$ is a quasi-hereditary algebra.*

Proof. See Theorem 2.1 of [12] for a proof without using the equivalence of Theorem 3.12. In this case the evaluation at G is an equivalence of categories between $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma(G),k}$ and $kB(G,G)$-Mod. The result follows from the fact that under these hypothesis, the category $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma(G),k}$ is a highest-weight category. □

As corollary we have:

Corollary 5.2. *Let G be a finite group. Let k be a field such that $|\mathrm{Out}(H)|$ is invertible in k for every subquotient H of G. Then if G is a NV_k-group we have:*

1. *The global dimension of $kB(G,G)$ is finite.*
2. *The Cartan matrix of $kB(G,G)$ has determinant* 1.

The fact that the double Burnside algebra of a non-vanishing group is quasi-hereditary is a direct consequence of the fact that the category of biset functors is a highest-weight category. However if the group G is a vanishing group, one can wonder if the double

Burnside algebra is still quasi-hereditary. In particular it may be possible to find an better order on the set of simple modules over the double Burnside algebra in order to avoid the vanishing problems. In [12], we showed that the global dimension of $\mathbb{C}B(A_5, A_5)$ is infinite. In particular this shows that such a better ordering does not exist for the double Burnside algebra for A_5. We have.

Proposition 5.3. *The double Burnside algebra* $\mathbb{C}B(A_5, A_5)$ *is* not *quasi-hereditary.*

Proof. Proposition 3.3 of [12]. □

6 Semi-simplicity revisited.

In this short section, we recall the well known Theorems about the semi-simplicity of the double Burnside algebras and the category of biset functors. Moreover, we show that as in the case of group algebra, these categories are semisimple if and only if the trivial object is projective. This characterization will be used in the last Section of this article.

Definition 6.1. Let k be a field.

- Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a replete biset category. The simple functor $S_{1,k}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D},k}$ is called the trivial functor.
- Let G be a finite group. The simple $kB(G,G)$-module $S_{1,k}(G)$ is called the trivial module.

These two objects deserved to be called trivial objects as they share some important similarities with the trivial module for a group algebra.

Lemma 6.2. *Let k be a field. Let G be a cyclic p-group such that* $\mathrm{char}(k) \mid |\mathrm{Out}(G)|$. *Then $kB(G)$ is not a simple $kB(G,G)$-module.*

Proof. If $\mathrm{char}(k) \neq p$, then Bouc has already classified the composition factors of the $kB(G,G)$-module $kB(G)$ in Paragraph 5.6.9 of [6]. Bouc used the idempotents of the Burnside ring $kB(G)$ in co-prime characteristic. So his method cannot be generalized to case where the characteristic of the field is p. Let us look more carefully at the action of $kB(G,G)$ on $kB(G)$. Let H be a subgroup of $G \times G$ and L be a subgroup of G. Then the action of the transitive G-G biset $G \times G/H$ on the transitive G-set G/H is given by the Mackey formula (see Lemma 2.3.24 [6]). Since G is a commutative group, the action is given by:

$$G \times G/H \cdot G/L = |[p_2(H)\backslash G/L]| G/H \bullet L. \tag{1}$$

Here $|[p_2(H)\backslash G/L]|$ is the size of a set of representatives of the double cosets $p_2(H)\backslash G/L$ and $H \bullet L$ is the subgroup of G defined by:

$$H \bullet L = \{g \in G \ ; \ \exists \ l \in L \text{ with } (g,l) \in H\}.$$

It is clear that $k_1(H) \leqslant H \bullet L \leqslant p_1(H)$.

1. If $\mathrm{char}(k) \mid (p-1)$, then $p = 1$ in k. The action of a transitive G-G-biset $G \times G/H$ on a transitive G-set G/L is given by $G \times G/H \cdot G/L = G/H \bullet L$. Let us considere $N(G)$ the subspace of $kB(G)$ defined by:

$$N(G) = \{\sum_{L \leqslant G} \lambda_L G/L \in kB(G) \ ; \ \sum_{L \leqslant G} \lambda_L = 0 \in k\}.$$

It is a k-vector space of codimension 1. Moreover it is a non zero proper $kB(G,G)$-submodule of $kB(G)$.

2. If $n > 1$ and $\mathrm{char}(k) = p$, then $\dim_k kB(G) \geqslant 3$. Then Formula (1) becomes:

$$G \times G/H \cdot G/L = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } L \neq G \text{ and } p_2(H) \neq G, \\ G/H \bullet L & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let us consider the subspace $N'(G)$ of $kB(G)$ defined by:

$$N'(G) := \{\sum_{L \leqslant G} \lambda_L G/L \ ; \ \lambda_G = 0 \text{ and } \sum_{L \leqslant G} \lambda_L = 0\}.$$

It is a k-vector space of codimension 2 and we claim that it is also a $kB(G,G)$-submodule of $kB(G)$. Indeed if H is a subgroup of $G \times G$ such that $p_2(H) \neq G$, then the action of $G \times G/H$ on $N'(G)$ is zero. Let H be a subgroup of G such that $p_2(H) = G$. Then the action of $G \times G/H$ on a transitive G-set G/L is given by:

$$G \times G/H \cdot G/L = G/H \bullet L.$$

We need to look if $H \bullet L$ can be equal to the group G. Since $H \bullet L$ is a subgroup of $p_1(H)$, we can assume that $p_1(H) = G$. The map which sends $g \in G/H \bullet L$ to an element $l(g) \in L$ such that $(g, l(g)) \in H$ induces an isomorphism of groups:

$$H \bullet L/k_1(H) \cong L/(k_2(H) \cap L).$$

- Let $H \leqslant G$ be such that $p_1(H) = p_2(H) = G$ and $k_2(H) = G$. Since $p_1(H)/k_1(H) \cong p_2(H)/k_2(H)$, this condition implies that $k_1(H) = G$. Since $k_1(H) \leqslant H \bullet L$, then $H \bullet L = G$ for every subgroup L of G. The space $N'(G)$ is therefore stable by the action of such a transitive G-G biset.
- If $k_2(H) = k_1(H) < G$. As G is a cyclic p-groups, then either $L \leqslant k_2(H)$ or $k_2(H) \leqslant L$. If $L \leqslant k_2(H)$ then $k_2(H) \cap L = L$, therefore $H \bullet L = k_1(H)$. If $k_2(H) = L$ then $k_2(H) \cap L = k_2(H)$. So we have $H \bullet L/k_1(H) = L/k_2(H)$, since $k_2(H) = k_1(H)$, we have $|H \bullet L| = |L|$. In both cases we cannot have $H \bullet L = G$ and $N'(G)$ is a $kB(G,G)$-module.

□

As corollary, we have the following useful reformulation of the Theorems of Barker and Bouc about the semisimplicity of the category of biset functors and category of modules over the double Burnside algebra.

Theorem 6.3 (Barker, Bouc)**.** *Let k be a field.*

1. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a replete biset category. Then the category $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D},k}$ is semisimple if and only if the simple functor $S_{1,k}$ is projective.*

2. *Let G be a finite group. Then the double Burnside algebra $kB(G,G)$ is a semisimple algebra if and only if the simple module $S_{1,k}(G)$ is projective.*

Proof. By Theorem 1 of [2] we know that the category $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D},k}$ is semisimple if and only if every group in $\mathcal{D}$ is cyclic and for every $H \in \mathcal{D}$, $\mathrm{char}(k)$ doest not divide $|\mathrm{Out}(H)|$. For the double Burnside algebra, by Proposition 6.1.7 of [6], we know that $kB(G,G)$ is semisimple if and only if G is cyclic and $\mathrm{char}(k)$ does not divide the order of $|\mathrm{Out}(G)|$. So in both cases, it remains to see that if the category is not semisimple, then the trivial functor (resp. module) is not projective. Or equivalently that its projective cover is not simple.

- If G is not cyclic then $kB(G)$ is an indecomposable non simple module. Indeed by the proof of Proposition 6.1 of [6], the kernel of the linearization functor is a non zero proper submodule of $kB(G)$. As consequence, if the category $\mathcal{D}$ contains a non cyclic group, the functor kB is non simple.

- if G is cyclic, then by Theorem 3.12 the evaluation at G induces an equivalence of categories between $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma(G),k}$ and $kB(G,G)$-Mod. The group G is a direct product of cyclic groups of prime power order, $G = P_1 \times \cdots P_r$. Let us assume that $\mathrm{char}(k) = p$ divides $|\mathrm{Out}(G)|$, then $p \mid |\mathrm{Out}(P_s)|$ for some $s \in \{1, \cdots, r\}$. By Lemma 6.2, the $kB(P_s,P_s)$-module $kB(P_s)$ is not simple. This implies that the functor kB is not simple in $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma(G),k}$ and by using one more times the equivalence of Theorem 3.12, we have that $kB(G)$ is not simple.

- If $\mathcal{D}$ is a replete category containing a cyclic group G such that $\mathrm{char}(k) \mid |\mathrm{Out}(G)|$, then by the previous point, the $kB(G,G)$-module $kB(G)$ is not simple, so the functor kB is not simple.

□

7 Self-injective property of the double Burnside algebra.

As explain in Section 5, if the group G is a non-vanishing group and if k is a field of characteristic zero, then the double Burnside algebra is quasi-hereditary. However, some easy computations show that over a field of positive characteristic the double Burnside algebra may have infinite global dimension. In this section we characterize the finite groups G such that the double Burnside algebra is a self-injective algebra. This result will also follows from characterizing the finite groups G such that the category $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma(G),k}$ is self-injective, and then evaluate at G in order to have the informations for the double Burnside algebra.

If $\mathcal{D}$ is a replete biset category containing only finitely many isomorphism classes of

objects, then by Morita's Theorem the category $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D},k}$ is equivalent to the category of modules over a finite dimensional algebra. In particular we will say that the category $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D},k}$ is self-injective if the corresponding finite dimensional algebra is self-injective. Then we have to following result.

Proposition 7.1. *Let k be a field and $\mathcal{D}$ be a full subcategory of the biset category with only finitely many isomorphism classes of objects. Then $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D},k}$ is self-injective if and only if it is semisimple.*

Proof. We only need to prove that if this category is self-injective, it is semisimple. If the category of biset functors over $\mathcal{D}$ is self-injective, the application sending the top of a projective indecomposable functor to its simple socle induces a bijection on the set of isomorphism classes of simple functors. This bijection is called the Nakayama's permutation (see Lemma 1.10.31 of [15] for more details). In particular the simple functor $S_{1,k}$ must be in the socle of a projective indecomposable functor.

Let $P_{H,V}$ be a projective cover of the simple functor $S_{H,V}$. By Theorem 6.3 of [14], there is a filtration

$$0 = P_0 \subset P_1 \subset \cdots \subset P_n = P_{H,V},$$

such that $P_i/P_{i-1} \cong \Delta_{H_i,U_i}$, where $H_i \in \mathcal{D}$ and U_i is a direct summand of a permutation $k\mathrm{Out}(H_i)$-module. So we have:

$$\mathrm{Soc}(P_{H,V}) \subseteq \bigoplus \mathrm{Soc}(\Delta_{H_i,U_i}),$$

where the Δ's runs through the standard quotients of $P_{H,V}$. So if the simple functor $S_{1,k}$ is in the socle of $P_{H,V}$ then it is in the socle of some of its standard factors Δ_{H_i,U_i}. But for a finite group K, if $\Delta_{H_i,U_i}(K) \neq 0$, then H_i is a subquotient of K. So if $S_{1,k}$ is composition factor of Δ_{H_i,U_i} then $H_i = 1$ and $U_i \cong \oplus k$. Moreover $\Delta_{1,k} = L_{1,k} = kB$. So the simple functor $S_{1,k}$ only appears at the top of $\Delta_{1,k}$. So if the simple functor $S_{1,k}$ is in the socle of $P_{H,V}$ then it is in the socle of $\Delta_{1,k}$. But it is the case if and only if $\Delta_{1,k}$ is simple. By Theorem 6.3 this is the case if and only if $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D},k}$ is semisimple. □

Now, we state the result for the double Burnside algebra.

Theorem 7.2. *Let k be a field. Let G be a finite group. Then the double Burnside algebra $kB(G,G)$ is self-injective if and only if it is semisimple.*

Proof. Since 1 is a quotient of G, the evaluation at G of $S_{1,k}$ is a non-zero simple module. Moreover by Corollary 3.6, the simple $kB(G,G)$-modules are the non-zero evaluation of the simple functors. And if $S_{H,V}(G) \neq 0$, then $P_{H,V}(G)$ is a projective cover of this simple module. By Theorem 6.3 of [14], the projective functor $P_{H,V}$ has a filtration

$$0 = P_0 \subset P_1 \subset \cdots \subset P_n = P_{H,V},$$

such that $P_i/P_{i-1} \cong \Delta_{H_i,U_i}$, where $H_i \in \mathcal{D}$ and U_i is a direct summand of a permutation $k\mathrm{Out}(H_i)$-module. Since the evaluation at G is an exact functor, the $kB(G,G)$-module has a (weak form of) filtration:

$$0 = P_0(G) \subseteq P_1(G) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq P_n(G) = P_{H,V}(G),$$

and the quotients of this filtration are:

$$P_i(G)/P_{i-1}(G) \cong \Big(P_i/P_{i-1}\Big)(G) \cong \Delta_{H_i,U_i}(G).$$

Note that some of these factors may be zero, but not all of them since $S_{H,V}(G) \neq 0$ by hypothesis. Moreover, we have that $\mathrm{Soc}\big(P_{H,V}(G)\big) \subseteq \bigoplus \Big(\mathrm{Soc}\Delta_{H_i,U_i}(G)\Big)$.

Let us assume that $kB(G,G)$ is a self-injective algebra. Then the simple module $S_{1,k}(G)$ must be in the socle of a projective indecomposable module $P_{H,V}(G)$. So $S_{1,k}(G)$ is in the socle of some $\Delta_{H_i,U_i}(G)$. But by Proposition 3.2, $S_{1,k}(G)$ is composition factor of $\Delta_{H_i,U_i}(G)$ if and only if $S_{1,k}$ is composition factor of Δ_{H_i,U_i} in the category of biset functors. So by the Proof of proposition 7.1, we have $H_i = 1$ and $U_i \cong \oplus k$. So $S_{1,k}(G)$ must be in the socle of $\Delta_{1,k}(G) = kB(G)$. By Theorem 6.3, this implies that $kB(G,G)$ is a semisimple algebra. □

Remark 7.3. As corollary, we have that the double Burnside algebra of a finite group G over a field k is symmetric if and only if it is a semisimple algebra. In [13], the Author studied the symmetry of the Mackey algebra. The main tool was a central linear map on the Mackey algebra which comes from the monoidal structure of the category of modules over the Mackey algebra, that is the category of Mackey functors. There are lot of points in common between the theory of biset functors and the theory of Mackey functors. In particular the category of biset functors is also a closed symmetric monoidal category under suitable hypothesis on the category D. (see Chapter 8 of [6]). The trace map of this monoidal structure (see Section 4 of [11] for more details about the trace of a monoidal category) is a map which goes from the endomorphism ring of a finitely generated projective biset functor to the endomorphism ring of the Burnside functor. By taking a representable functor Y_G , we have a central linear map:

$$tr : kB(G,G) \to End_{\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma(G),k}}(Y_G) \cong kB(1) \cong k.$$

One can compute this trace and shows that if U is a G-G-biset then $tr(U) = |U/G| \in k$, that is the number of G-orbits in U where G acts diagonally on U . Unfortunately, this map cannot help to the comprehension of the symmetry of $kB(G,G)$ since the bilinear form $(U,V) \mapsto tr(U \times_G V)$ is always degenerate if $G \neq 1$.

References

[1] L. An, J. Ding, and Q. Zhang. Finite self dual groups. *Journal of Algebra*, 341(1):35 – 44, 2011.

[2] L. Barker. Rhetorical biset functors, rational p-biset functors and their semisimplicity in characteristic zero. *Journal of Algebra*, 319(9):3810 – 3853, 2008.

[3] R. Boltje and S. Danz. A ghost ring for the left-free double burnside ring and an application to fusion systems. *Advances in Mathematics*, 229(3):1688 – 1733, 2012.

[4] R. Boltje and B. Külshammer. Central idempotents of the bifree and left-free double burnside ring. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 202(1):161–193, 2014.

[5] S. Bouc. Some simple bisets functors. In preparation.

[6] S. Bouc. *Biset functors for finite groups*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, 2010.

[7] S. Bouc, R. Stancu, and J. Thévenaz. Simple biset functors and double burnside ring. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 217(3):546 – 566, 2013.

[8] S. Bouc, R. Stancu, and J. Thévenaz. Vanishing evaluations of simple functors. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 218(2):218 – 227, 2014.

[9] S. Bouc and J. Thévenaz. The representation theory of finite sets and correspondences. *ArXiv e-prints*, Oct. 2015.

[10] P. T. Franjou, Vincent. Comparison of abelian categories recollements. *Documenta Mathematica*, 9:41–56, 2004.

[11] J. May. Picard groups, grothendieck rings, and burnside rings of categories. *Advances in Mathematics*, 163(1):1 – 16, 2001.

[12] B. Rognerud. Quasi-hereditary property of double burnside algebras. *Comptes Rendus Mathematique*, 353(8):689 – 693, 2015.

[13] B. Rognerud. Trace maps for mackey algebras. *Journal of Algebra*, 426:288 – 312, 2015.

[14] P. Webb. Stratifications and mackey functors ii: Globally defined mackey functors. *Journal of K-theory: K-theory and its Applications to Algebra, Geometry, and Topology*, 6:99–170, 8 2010.

[15] A. Zimmermann. *Representation Theory: A Homological Algebra Point of View*. Algebra and Applications. Springer International Publishing, 2014.

Baptiste Rognerud
Centro de Ciencias Matemáticas,
UNAM, A.P. 61-3
C.P. 58089, Morelia, Mich, MEXICO
e-mail: baptiste@matmor.unam.mx